



Six-Party Talks: What Do You Think?

Dear Readers:

PacNet 47 provided an ever so slightly (and very cautiously) optimistic assessment for future Six-Party Talks while expressing support for the U.S.-crafted multilateral approach. PacNet 47A was a (considerably less cautiously) pessimistic assessment of the “illusory” prospects for the six-party process, while describing U.S. policy toward North Korea as “in shambles” and “a disgrace.” WHAT DO YOU THINK? Please send us (in 50 words or less, if possible) your comments on where we are and where we are (or should be) going with North Korea. We will compile these comments in a future PacNet. Let us know how you would like to be identified (i.e., by name, as a “Chinese academic,” “ROK official,” or “U.S. scholar,” etc.). Back issues of PacNet are available for review on the Pacific Forum web site [www.csis.org/pacfor].

We look forward to hearing what you think.