

WHY DID JAPAN KEEP ASSISTING NEC?

BY SEK SOPHAL

Sek Sophal (<u>sophalday@gmail.com</u>) is a researcher at the Democracy Promotion Center, the Ritsumeikan Center for Asia Pacific Studies, Beppu, Japan. He is also a freelance columnist at the Bangkok Post in Thailand.

After a series of crackdowns by the Cambodian government on independent media, civil society organizations, and a main opposition party in late 2017, Western countries swiftly responded by imposing visa restrictions on Cambodia's highranking officials and terminating development aid. However, Japan, as a treaty ally of the US and a democratic country sharing the values of freedom and human rights, has neither terminated its Official Development Aid (ODA) to Cambodia, nor cut its technical and financial assistance for the National Committee for Election (NEC).

Speaking to the Voice of America on Dec. 22, 2017, Japan's Ambassador to Cambodia Hidehisa Horinouchi argued that assuring the opportunity for the people of Cambodia to express their political will and strengthening the credibility of the election process were Japan's motivations to keep assisting the NEC. But Japan's decision to remain engaged with a troublesome NEC goes beyond transparency of the election to a long-term strategic end to shape Cambodian politics amid growing competition with China for influence.

Cambodia has become an important destination for Japan's investment, given its supply of low-cost labor, the potential for stable economic growth (averaging 7 percent for the last two decades), and the increased purchasing power of Cambodian people. The growing significance of bilateral diplomatic relations was evident when Prime Minister Abe Shinzo and Prime Minister Hun Sen upgraded diplomatic relations to a "strategic partnership" in 2013. Since then, the number of Japanese companies investing in Cambodia has rapidly increased. According to the Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO), there were just 19 Japanese companies in Cambodia in 2010. By 2015, the number had jumped to 250, making Japan the third largest foreign investor in the country.

Cambodia's geography plays a crucial role in Japanese thinking: physical infrastructure in Cambodia links Japan's industrial bases in Thailand and Vietnam. Japan's East-West Economic Corridor (EWEC), which was initiated in 1998 and became operational in late 2006, relies not only on human and financial capital and industrial bases in newly industrialized countries, such as Thailand, Vietnam, and Malaysia, but also on connections of physical infrastructure in mainland Southeast Asian countries. Although Cambodia was not included in the EWEC at the beginning, political instability and natural disasters in Thailand during the past decade elevated the role of Cambodia in minimizing trade and investment risks and increasing resilience of the supply chain. Massive floods in Thailand in 2011 taught Japan a bitter lesson. According to the World Bank, estimated losses were no less than \$4 billion, of which Japan's investment, particularly in the automobile industries, accounted for a considerable share.

Over-reliance on Thailand is proving to be a dangerous strategy. Oizumi Keichiro, an economist at the Japan Research Institute, notes that "80 percent of investment approvals granted to Japanese business by the Thailand Board of Investment (BOI) relate to investments in Bangkok and the eight surrounding provinces" and all of them are prone to annual flooding. To cope with this growing challenge, the Thailand-Plus-One business model was initiated in 2013 not only to minimize investment risks, but also to increase Japanese competitiveness in both the regional and global supply chain. In this model, Japanese companies are advised to move laborintensive production to one of Thailand's neighboring countries such as Cambodia, Laos and Myanmar, while Thailand plays a role as hub of Japan's investment and production clustering. In this strategy, Japan depends on Cambodia to supply low-cost labor and facilitate its supply chain through the country's road network and scores of Special Economic Zones (SEZs), which were largely developed and funded by Japan's ODA and Japanese firms.

The Thailand-Plus-One strategy facilitates Japan's EWEC and offers Cambodia great economic opportunities. Japan can expand its economic power to slow China's growing influence in Cambodia. Although trade volume between Japan and Cambodia is far smaller than that between China and Cambodia, Japan can at least prevent China from dominating and monopolizing the Cambodian market as it did in Myanmar. Japan is optimistic that the economic opportunities facilitate stronger and deeper engagement to shape Cambodia's politics.

Direct engagement with the NEC is strategically important for Japan's foreign policy. Japan's ODA wins the hearts of Cambodian people, but not those of Cambodian politicians from the ruling party. Its ODA is vital for Cambodia's development, but less effective to challenge China's growing influence in Cambodia. Helping the NEC, a national institution with a notorious history of alleged fraud and election manipulation, is a strategy of choice for Japan to remain a key player to engage, monitor, and if necessary put Cambodia's election on the path of democracy.

Prevailing in the growing strategic rivalry with China requires Japan to maintain all channels of communication and engagement with the Cambodian government. Japan has played a critical role in reforming the NEC. However, China has also donated a considerable amount of aid to the NEC. China has explicitly maintained firm support for also Cambodia's ruling party. Chinese Vice Foreign Minister Kong Xaunyou, for example, told Reuters on Jan 4, 2018 ahead of the second Lancang-Mekong Cooperation (LMC) meeting hosted by Cambodia in early January, "Cambodia's election in 2018 will be fair and China confirms its support." China's support for Cambodia, regardless of how badly human rights and democracy have been undermined by the government, challenges Japan's policy in Cambodia. For Japan, cutting off the NEC simply means surrendering influence.

Although China did not specify the support it will give Cambodia, there is no doubt that China will unconditionally recognize the election and a newlyformed government by the Cambodian People Party (CPP). China will do more harm than good for the development of democracy in Cambodia. Without Japan's presence, the Cambodian government and China would be free to manipulate the NEC at the expense of the Cambodian people's desire for democracy, freedom, and human rights.

Since 1992, Japan has donated billions of dollars to develop and democratize Cambodia. Japan understands that tough diplomatic action against Cambodia is tantamount to pushing Cambodia closer to China. If Japan can shape Cambodia to follow the pathway of democracy, China's influence will subside without direct and unnecessary confrontation. Thus, staying engaged with the NEC offers Japan the best opportunity to strengthen and sustain its diplomatic power and interests in Cambodia.

PacNet commentaries and responses represent the views of the respective authors. Alternative viewpoints are always welcomed and encouraged. Click <u>here</u> to request a PacNet subscription.